

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTERREMARKS

AUG 03 2006

With respect to the suggestion that the attorney arguments were incorrect, it is not seen what is incorrect about them. Referring to the last remarks, the first two lines talk about claim 1, the next lines talk about the cited Lowrey application and the suggestion that the cited Lowrey application has a holding voltage of about .8 volts which is less than .9 volts. Thus, it is not understood why the arguments are not proper.


With respect to the rejection of the claims over that cited Lowrey application, it is noted that the citation to paragraph 120 is insufficient to meet the claims which talk about a phase change memory element having a voltage greater than .9 volts.

What is being discussed in paragraph 120 is the holding voltage of a select device. Thus, the cited material is of no moment to what is claimed.

Therefore, reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: August 3, 2006

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Timothy N. Trop, Reg. No. 28,994  
TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C.  
1616 South Voss Road, Suite 750  
Houston, TX 77057-2631  
713/468-8880 [Phone]  
713/468-8883 [Fax]

Attorneys for Intel Corporation